Docket No.: 9988.059.00

REMARKS

The Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for thoroughly reviewing and considering

the pending application. The Office Action dated October 28, 2005 has been received and

carefully reviewed. Claims 1 and 4 have been amended. Claims 1-6 are currently pending.

Reexamination and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejected claims 4-6 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated

by U.S. Patent No. 4,010,996 to Hopkins et el. (hereinaster "Hopkins"). The Applicants

respectfully traverse this rejection.

As required in Chapter 2131 of the M.P.E.P., in order to anticipate a claim under 35

U.S.C. §102, "the reference must teach every element of the claim." The Applicants respectfully

submit that Hopkins does not teach every element recited in claims 4-6. Thus, Hopkins cannot

anticipate these claims. In particular, claim 4 recites a laundry dryer control panel comprising,

among other features, a terminal block having a wall where "the wall shields an exposed portion

of the first wire and the second wire." Hopkins does not disclose this feature. In maintaining the

rejection, the Office Action indicates "the claim recitation shields is broadly and reasonably

construed in light of the specification to be anticipated by the shielded area disclosed in Hopkins

as discussed above." See e.g., the Office Action at page 3. The Applicants disagree. More

specifically, no where does Hopkins disclose an exposed wire. Thus, Hopkins cannot disclose

shielding an exposed wire. Similarly, Hopkins cannot disclose a terminal block having a wall

which shields an exposed portion of a first wire and a second wire.

In addition, claim 4 recites "a first wire extending out of the terminal block lower

part" and "a second wire extending out of the terminal block upper part." Hopkins does not

disclose this feature. While Hopkins does disclose a strain relief cover member 58 having wires

5

DC:50380377.1

32 therein and a housing 138 having wires 170, neither the cover member 58 nor the housing 138

have both a first wire extending from a lower part thereof and a second wire extending from an

upper part thereof.

In addition, claim 4 has been amended to recite that the terminal block includes "a

back portion placed on the control panel and a front portion having a lower part and an upper

part." The Applicants submit that Hopkins does not disclose a terminal block having this feature.

Accordingly, the Applicants submit that claim 4 is patentable over Hopkins and request that the

rejection be withdrawn. Likewise, claim 6, which depends from claim 4, is also patentable for at

least the same reasons.

Claim 5 recites that the wall "covers a first connector coupling the terminal block

lower part with a first power wire" and covers "a second connector coupling the terminal block

upper part with a second power wire from a first side of the terminal block and a second side of

the terminal block." The Applicants submit that Hopkins simply does not disclose this feature.

As such, claim 5 is patentable over *Hopkins* and the Applicants request that the rejection be

withdrawn.

The Office Action also rejected claims 1 and 3 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 2,742,708 to McCormick (hereinafter "McCormick") in view

of Hopkins. The Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

As required in Chapter 2143.03 of the M.P.E.P., in order to "establish prima facie

obviousness of the claimed invention, all the limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior

art." The Applicants respectfully submit that neither McCormick nor Hopkins, either singularly

or in combination, disclose or suggest each and every element recited in claims 1 and 3. In

particular, claim 1 has been amended to recite a structure for shielding an exposed part of core

6

DC:50380377.1

Application No.: 10/663,995

Amdt. dated February 28, 2006

Reply to Office Action dated October 28, 2005

wire of a terminal block in a clothes dryer "wherein the terminal block comprises a back portion

placed on the control panel and a front portion having a wall for shielding an exposed part of

core wire extending from an upper portion of the front portion and a lower portion of the front

portion." As correctly pointed out in the Office Action, McCormick does not disclose this

feature. See e.g., the Office Action at pages 3 and 4. Likewise, Hopkins also does not disclose

this feature. Therefore, claim 1 is, along with claim 3 which depends therefrom, patentable over

the cited references and the Applicants request that the rejection be withdrawn.

The Office Action also rejected claim 2 as being unpatentable over McCormick in

view of Hopkins in further view of U.S. Patent No. 4,820,189 to Sergeant et al. (hereinafter

"Sergeant"). The Applicants traverse the rejection. As previously discussed, neither McCormick

nor Hopkins, either singularly or in combination, disclose or suggest all the features recited in

claim 1, the base claim from which claim 2 depends. Similarly, Sergeant fails to overcome the

previously detailed shortcomings of both of these references. Therefore, the Applicants submit

that claim 2 is patentable over McCormick in view of Hopkins in further view of Sergeant and

request that the rejection be withdrawn.

The application is in a condition for allowance and favorable action is respectfully

solicited. If for any reason the Examiner believes a conversation with the Applicant's

representative would facilitate the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is encouraged to

contact the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500. All correspondence should continue to be

sent to the below-listed address.

7

DC:50380377.1

Application No.: 10/663,995

Amdt. dated February 28, 2006

Reply to Office Action dated October 28, 2005

Docket No.: 9988.059.00

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office,

then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37

C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the

filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any

overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Dated: February 28, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Mark R Kresloff

Registration No.: 42,766

McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP

1900 K Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20006

(202) 496-7500

Attorneys for Applicant